Continuous GPS measurements of crustal deformation in Garhwal-Kumaun Himalaya

Param K. Gautama, a, *, V.K. Gahalaut b, Sanjay K. Prajapati b, Naresh Kumar a, Rajeev K. Yadav c, Naresh Rana b, Chandra P. Dabral a

a Wadia Institute of Himalayan Geology, Dehradun, India
b National Centre for Seismology, Ministry of Earth Sciences, New Delhi, India
c CSIR-National Geophysical Research Institute, Hyderabad, India

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:
Received 5 June 2016
Received in revised form 22 May 2017
Accepted 24 May 2017
Available online 5 June 2017

Keywords:
GPS
Crustal deformation
Himalaya

ABSTRACT

We report GPS measurements of crustal deformation from five continuous sites in the Garhwal Kumaun Himalaya. The motion at these sites confirms strain accumulation on the Main Himalayan Thrust (MHT) under the Outer and Lesser Himalaya with a slip deficit rate of 18 mm/year over a width of ~100 km. Apart from secular plate motion, these sites also exhibit seasonal variations. We model these seasonal variations using the global models of atmospheric and hydrological loads. The influence of these loads is maximum in the vertical component which decreases in the north and then in the east component. All the four sites in the Himalayan region and a site at Delhi show consistency between the observed seasonal variations with that predicted by the model. However, the annual variations in the horizontal component of displacement time series at BDRI (Badrinath) GPS site are not consistent with the predictions which could be due to local site conditions.

© 2017 Elsevier Ltd and INQUA. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Convergence across the Himalayan arc occurs through stick and slip manner on the Main Himalayan Thrust (MHT) that lies under the Outer and Lesser Himalaya. The MHT further north under the Higher and Tethys Himalaya slips aseismically. The two distinct parts of the MHT are connected through the ramp which forms the transition from seismically active MHT in the updip side to the aseismically slipping MHT that lies downdip of the ramp (e.g., Caldwell et al., 2013; Stevens and Avouac, 2015; Bilham et al., 2017). This transition zone is also marked by the small and moderate magnitude thrust earthquakes of the Himalayan seismic belt. So strain accumulation on the seismically active MHT under the Outer and Lesser Himalaya occurs in the interseismic period, to be released coseismically during M > 7 earthquakes. This has amply been demonstrated by the GPS measurements in various parts of the Himalayan arc (Banerjee and Bürgmann, 2002; Banerjee et al., 2008; Ponz et al., 2010; Khandelwal et al., 2014; Jade et al., 2014). Extensive GPS measurements in Nepal show evidence of strain accumulation on the Main Himalayan Thrust (MHT) at a rate corresponding to ~20 mm/year (e.g., Bilham et al., 1997; Jouanne et al., 1999; Avouac, 2003; Bettinelli et al., 2008; Ader et al., 2012). In the source zone of the 2015 Gorkha earthquake, entire accumulated strain, or some part of it, was released coseismically during the earthquake (Avouac et al., 2015). Indeed, that region showed evidence of strain accumulation in the preceding years.

The Garhwal Kumaun region of NW Himalaya has not experienced a great earthquake in past few hundred years. The 1905 Kangra earthquake rupture (M 7.8) did not extend up to this region, though Middleniss (1910) reported damage in the Dehradun region. Recent damaging earthquakes, the 1991 Uttarkashi and 1999 Chamoli, were of strong magnitude and their ruptures were confined close to the downdip part of the seismically active MHT. Thus these earthquakes too did not release any substantial strain on the MHT that must have accumulated over the preceding years. Here, in this article, we report continuous GPS measurements of crustal deformation from five sites which show evidence of strain accumulation in the Garhwal Kumaun Himalaya. We also show seasonal variation in the displacement time series, its spatial and temporal variation.
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2. Continuous GPS measurements in Garhwal Kumaun region

In the Garhwal Kumaun Himalayan region, five continuous GPS sites (Fig. 1) have been installed. Many of these sites (GHUT, WIHG, BDRI and MUNS) have been established and are in operation since 2007. In addition to the sites in the Garhwal Kumaun region, a continuous GPS site at Delhi has been established and is in operation since 2010. All these sites are located on concrete pillar established on hard rock. However, the site at Dehradun is located on roof top of a two storey building. In case hard rock is not available the pillar extends upto a depth of 4–5 feet below ground to reach to the firm ground. Continuous GPS data from these sites have been analysed together with several IGS sites surrounding the Indian plate, namely, IISC, LHAZ, GUAO, URUM, CHUM, KUNM, WUHN, SELE, POL2, TEHN, Bahr, DARW, KIT3, HYDE, BHR1, BHR2, LCKI, LCK2, and SOLA. We used GAMIT, version 10.40 (King and Bock, 2006; Herring et al., 2010a, b), to derive the loosely constrained site coordinates. The site position estimates and their rates were estimated in ITRF2008 (Altamimi et al., 2011) by stabilizing more stable continuous sites and core IGS reference sites using GAMIT/GLOBK, GLORG. We have used the GMF (Global Mapping Function) and apriori pressure and temperature from the GPT2 model have been used in absence of in situ meteorological data (Boehm et al., 2006; Lagler et al., 2013). The ocean tide model FES2004 (Letellier, 2004) has been used for the removal of contributions from ocean tidal loads at the site locations. The IERS2003 model has been used for the correction of site displacement associated with solid earth deformation produced by tidal potential (McCarthy and Petit, 2004). In ITRF2008, these sites moves at the rate varying from ~48 mm/year towards N45° (DELI, at Delhi) to ~36 mm/year towards N51° (BDRI, at Badrinath, Table 1). The time series of these sites are available in the accompanying supplementary material (Fig. S1). All the sites, apart from showing the secular plate motion towards northeast, also show annual seasonal variations, which have been discussed in the subsequent section. We estimated the site velocity in Indian reference frame by considering the Indian plate rotation pole of Ader et al. (2012). In Indian reference frame, the velocity at site DELI is less than 2 mm/year, which is as per the expectations, as DELI is located on the Indian plate. All sites show predominantly southward and arc normal velocity which increases towards north (Fig. 1).

3. Seasonal Variation

One of the spectacular features of the time series of GPS measurements is the seasonal variations. We remove the secular trend from these sites and show the seasonal variations at these sites (Fig. 2). The most prominent amongst these variations are the annual variations. Although there could be some additional low amplitude high frequency variations present in these measurements, but we ignore them here as the accuracy in GPS measurements and processing, and limited spatial and sparse coverage by our network, do not warrant to take up such an analysis. Annual variations have been reported earlier also (Bettinelli et al., 2008; Bollinger et al., 2007; Khandelwal et al., 2014; Chanard et al., 2014; Flouzat et al., 2009). Bettinelli et al. (2008) proposed that the seasonal variations are due to the recharging/loading of the Indo-Gangetic sediments due to rainfall. However, variations in the ocean tide load, non-tidal ocean load, atmospheric pressure load and snow fall can also deform the surface of the Earth (Petit and Luzum, 2010; Dobslaw et al., 2013; Van Dam and Whar, 1987; Heki, 2001). Contribution from the ocean tidal load in the Himalaya is small and has been taken care during the processing of GPS data.
LSDM. Global solution for surface deformation due to non-tidal hydrological mass distributions from the global hydrological model convolving Farrell’s loading Green’s function with modelled hydrological induced elastic surface deformations are calculated by Van Dam and Wahr, 1987; Van Dam, 2010, http://geophy.uni.lu/ggfc-provided by the Global Geophysical Fluid Center (GGFC) (Van Dam and Wahr, 1987). We have used the data and programs provided by the GeoForsichZentrum (GFZ) using Ocean Model for Circulation and Tides (OMCT) model (ftp://ig2-dmz.gfz-potsdam.de/LOADING/NTO/) has been taken to estimate the contribution due ocean water mass redistribution (Dobslaw et al., 2013). However, the contribution is insignificant, being only >1 mm in the vertical and >0.5 mm in the horizontal. We have used the elastic deformation using spherical earth model and have computed in the centre of Earth’s frame (cf) on the basis of load love numbers given for the elastic Earth model “ak135”.

Predictions at each site are shown in Fig. 2 with red curve. Contributions from the individual loads at each site is shown in Fig. S2. As expected the variations are maximum in up component and the magnitude of variations decreases at sites located northward. The fit between the observed variations and predicted by the model is quite spectacular. Amongst the two horizontal components, the variations in the north component are more prominent. This is expected as in the context of Himalaya, the atmospheric and hydrological loads act as a 2-D load aligned in the east-west direction, causing no or little variations at sites in the east-west direction. However, because of load variation in the north-south direction, there will be corresponding variations in the north component. Earlier, it has been seen that seasonal variations at most of the sites in the Nepal Himalaya is generally consistent with this (Bettinelli et al., 2008; Bollinger et al., 2007; Flouzat et al., 2009). However, at a few sites in Nepal there is a mismatch with the magnitude of variations, the variations at site being large as compared to the prediction. Similarly, there is mismatch in the phase of seasonal variations. In our case, the magnitude of variations at all sites in all components matches with the predicted variation, within the corresponding uncertainties in the
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Predictions at each site are shown in Fig. 2 with red curve. Contributions from the individual loads at each site is shown in Fig. S2. As expected the variations are maximum in up component and the magnitude of variations decreases at sites located northward. The fit between the observed variations and predicted by the model is quite spectacular. Amongst the two horizontal components, the variations in the north component are more prominent. This is expected as in the context of Himalaya, the atmospheric and hydrological loads act as a 2-D load aligned in the east-west direction, causing no or little variations at sites in the east-west direction. However, because of load variation in the north-south direction, there will be corresponding variations in the north component. Earlier, it has been seen that seasonal variations at most of the sites in the Nepal Himalaya is generally consistent with this (Bettinelli et al., 2008; Bollinger et al., 2007; Flouzat et al., 2009). However, at a few sites in Nepal there is a mismatch with the magnitude of variations, the variations at site being large as compared to the prediction. Similarly, there is mismatch in the phase of seasonal variations. In our case, the magnitude of variations at all sites in all components matches with the predicted variation, within the corresponding uncertainties in the

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site Code</th>
<th>Longitude (°E)</th>
<th>Latitude (°N)</th>
<th>ITRF 2008 Velocity (mm/year)</th>
<th>India fixed Velocity (mm/year)</th>
<th>Corr</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>VN ± 1σ</td>
<td>VE ± 1σ</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DELI</td>
<td>77.12</td>
<td>28.48</td>
<td>34.04 ± 0.05</td>
<td>34.25 ± 0.06</td>
<td>1.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WHG</td>
<td>78.01</td>
<td>30.32</td>
<td>33.97 ± 0.02</td>
<td>33.31 ± 0.02</td>
<td>1.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PITH</td>
<td>80.28</td>
<td>29.57</td>
<td>31.83 ± 0.24</td>
<td>32.13 ± 0.26</td>
<td>-1.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GHUT</td>
<td>78.74</td>
<td>30.53</td>
<td>30.17 ± 0.02</td>
<td>31.64 ± 0.03</td>
<td>-2.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MUNS</td>
<td>80.24</td>
<td>30.06</td>
<td>27.04 ± 0.07</td>
<td>31.77 ± 0.07</td>
<td>-5.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BDRI</td>
<td>79.49</td>
<td>30.74</td>
<td>22.90 ± 0.03</td>
<td>28.30 ± 0.03</td>
<td>-10.04</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 2. Seasonal variation at four sites in the Himalayan region along with a site at Delhi (DELI). Scale in each case is indicated. Red curve in each case is the prediction of global hydrological and atmospheric model. The fitting is generally good at all sites except Badrinath (BDRI) where the north and east components are almost in opposite phase with the prediction for east and north components. The green strip in each panel denote the rainy season. The time series at MUNS is not shown because of several breaks, caused by lack of data, in the time series. (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)
observations. However, there is a mismatch in the phase in the north and east components at site BDRI. There could be two reasons for this. It is possible that the local site conditions are not accounted in the hydrological load computations which is taken over an area of $0.5^2 \times 0.5^2$. We acknowledge that calculations of atmospheric load is done over $2.5^2 \times 2.5^2$ but it is known that contribution from atmospheric load is minimal. The other possibility for the mismatch in phase could be because of some additional process, other than hydrological and atmospheric load, responsible for it, which could be site specific. Similar mismatch can also be seen at some of the sites in Nepal (Fig. 4a and b of Fu et al., 2013). At this stage, it is difficult to identify the exact process responsible for seasonal variations.

4. Evidence of strain accumulation

The gradual increase in the southward secular velocity from south to north at all sites implies strain accumulation. We use the Okada’s formulation (Okada, 1992) of elastic dislocation which provides surface displacements due to slip across a fault in an elastic half space. We assume that the MHT beneath the Himalayan arc is locked. We use a deep slip model in which it is assumed that while the shallow MHT is locked, the deeper part of the MHT slips aseismically and its response can be simulated by assuming a dislocation. Considering that we have only five sites in the Garhwal Kumaun Himalayan region, in our 2D analysis we assumed that the locking on the MHT is uniform and perfect along its entire width. With more data one can consider variation in locking along the MHT (Stevens and Avouac, 2015). We perform a grid search to estimate the dip, updip edge of the aseismically slipping MHT, and slip rate across the MHT. The deeper part of the aseismically slipping MHT is assumed to be extending infinitely northward from the Higher Himalaya. This simulates the steady motion on it. In this model, the updip edge of the aseismically slipping MHT joins with the downdip edge of the locked MHT and is referred as the locking line. By assuming that the MHT extends right up to the Himalayan Frontal Thrust (HFT) and the strain accumulation rate or locking is uniform on the shallow part of the MHT, these estimates effectively provides us width of the locked zone and the slip deficit rate. We estimate them as $95 \pm 5$ km and $18 \pm 2$ mm/year, respectively (Fig. 3). To derive these estimate we have considered the available campaign mode GPS measurements from the region (Banerjee et al., 2008) also. The available campaign mode observations were first converted from ITRF2002 to ITRF2008 and then to the Indian reference frame. Their inclusion improved the reliability of the analysis as our measurements are only from 5 sites. The estimate of locked width of the MHT implies that the MHT under the Outer and Lesser Himalaya locked and is accumulating strain at the arc normal rate of $18 \pm 2$ mm/year.

The rate of plate convergence and also strain accumulation varies along the arc. In the Kashmir region, is about $12–13$ mm/year (Schiffman et al., 2013; Kundu et al., 2014) while in the Nepal region, it is $18–20$ mm/year (Bilham et al., 1997; Jouanne et al., 1999; Stevens and Avouac, 2015; Bettinelli et al., 2008; Vernant et al., 2014). Although, the rate is not well constrained in the Eastern Himalaya, it is about $21$ mm/year. These rates derived from GPS measurements are consistent with that from the geological studies (Thakur, 2013). In the Garhwal-Kumaun Himalayan region, the rate of strain accumulation is consistent with the earlier estimates (Banerjee et al., 2008; Khandelwal et al., 2014; Jade et al., 2014) and with the estimate obtained from the neighbouring western Nepal region (Stevens and Avouac, 2015). Width of the locked zone is also consistent with the earlier estimates. It has been noticed that the earthquakes of Himalayan seismic belt, and the 3.5 km topography
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5. Status of strain accumulation

It is assumed that the rate of long term convergence is generally equal to the slip deficit rate as only less than 10% of this rate contributes to permanent deformation (Avouac, 2003) and the rest of the deformation is considered as recoverable. The occurrence of small and moderate earthquakes of the Himalayan seismic belt do not contribute much towards the convergence. Further, it is assumed that slip rates across the plate boundaries are stationary over the periods of hundred to thousand years. In the Garhwal Kumaun region, the last great earthquake probably occurred in 1505. Rajendran et al. (2015) suggested that the 1505 earthquake did not affect this region and they suggested that the last earthquake in this region was probably in thirteenth century. This is also supported by Jayangondaperumal et al. (2017) who suggested an earthquake in 1344 CE. If the current rate of strain accumulation applies over past 700 or even 500 years, then sufficient slip deficit (10 m, corresponding to a strain of $10^{-8}$ over 100 km wide zone) has accumulated in the region to be released in a great earthquake.

6. Conclusion

Analysis of continuous GPS measurements from Garhwal Kumaun Himalaya provide evidence for active deformation and strain accumulation for future great and major earthquake in the region. These measurements are consistent with the locking of underlying Main Himalayan Thrust (MHT), from the Himalayan front up to a distance of 95 km across the Himalayan arc. The strain accumulation in this region is occurring corresponding to a slip deficit rate of 18 mm/year. Other than the evidence of strain accumulation, these measurements also show seasonal variations, which are largely caused by the atmospheric and hydrological variations. However, there seems to be some other factors contributing these variability, which need to be explored with more measurements.
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